

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,668	06/25/2001	Meng Yao	A1265-US-NP	8867	
-,	7590 01/08/2007 CKERSON LLP		EXAMINER		
1777 PENFIEL	D ROAD		HUNTSINGER, PETER K		
PENFIELD, NY 14526			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2625		
			•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/888,668	YAO, MENG
Examiner	Art Unit
Peter K. Huntsinger	2625

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter K. Huntsinger	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7) 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. Individual control with a control with a control of the final rejection. Individual control of (2) the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Coust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment in the proposed amendment in the proposed amendment is a final rejection.			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	The state of the s	TE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	1110 133403 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1,116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Parala Chadana and and	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an- was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	KAWillin	\mathcal{M}_{Δ}	•
1865 B. Hales	KIMBERLY WILLIA SUPERVICORY RITH TE	MS WALL	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues on pages 10-14 of the response in essence that:

Stanich et al. teach the clustering criteria of Fig. E and not of Figs. B and C.

Stanich et al. do not disclose Figs. B and C, however the limitations argued are not encompassed by the claims. Stanich et al. disclose constructing a checkerboard screen (col. 6, lines 61-66). The pattern is considered a stochastic screen because the subsequent pixel placed is selected randomly (col. 6, lines 22-23).